TEL.: (845) 807-0527 FACSIMILE: (845) 807-0546



SULLIVAN COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Planning, Environmental Management and Real Property Committee Thursday, July 12, 2012 ~ 11:00 AM

Committee Members: Alan Sorensen (Chair); Cindy Kurpil Gieger (Vice Chair); Kathy LaBuda; Cora Edwards; and Ira Steingart

AGENDA

PRESENTATIONS: None

RESOLUTIONS:

COUNTY ATTORNEY - None

COUNTY TREASURER - None

PLANNING

- 1. To issue a Negative Declaration pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act regarding the addition of parcels of Real Property to existing Certified Agricultural Districts within Sullivan County.
- 2. To include viable Agricultural Land in existing Certified Agricultural District within Sullivan County.

REAL PROPERTY -

1. To accept an offer from the Jewish General Aid Association of Sullivan County to purchase a strip of land.

DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM UPDATES AND REPORTS:

County Attorney: - None

County Treasurer: - Update on Current Issues

Real Property: - Monthly Deed and Subdivision Report

DIVISION DISCUSSION ITEMS: None

PUBLIC COMMENTS:



COUNTY OF SULLIVAN LEGISLATIVE MEMORANDUM

TO: County Legislature						
FROM: Luiz C. Aragon	FROM: Luiz C. Aragon					
Title: Commissioner						
Department: Planning &	Environme	ental Mana	gement			
COMMITTEE WITH JURISDICTION [] Community & Economic Development [] Management & Budget [] Public Works [] Executive [] Personnel [] Veterans [] Government Services [X] Planning, Environmental Management & Real Property [] Health & Family Services [] Public Safety SUBJECT OF RESOLUTION: Negative Declaration for Agricultural District Inclusions						
PURPOSE OF RESOLUTION regarding the inclusion of parce	ls into Âgri	icultural Di	•		SEQRA,	
DATE OF FIRST SUBMISSI	ON: July 1.	2, 2012				
BRIEF DESCRIPTION : Author to SEQRA, regarding the inclus						
COSTS TO OTHER COUNT	Y PROGR	AMS: No	ne			
[X] Mandated [] Budgeted	[] Budge	et Revision	Necessary			
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	Balance of	of				
Proiection: County Cost State Funds Federal Funds Other Total	YR1 \$ \$ \$ \$	YR2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	YR3 \$ \$ \$ \$	YR \$ \$ \$ \$	R4 	
If NONE check here:[X]						

RESOLUTION NO. INTRODUCED BY THE PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO ISSUE A NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT REGARDING THE ADDITION OF PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY TO EXISTING CERTIFIED AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS WITHIN SULLIVAN COUNTY

WHEREAS, The Sullivan County Legislature has the authority under New York State Agriculture and Markets Law to include additional parcels in the existing certified Agricultural District No. 1 and Agricultural District No. 4 on a yearly basis; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets has consented to the Sullivan County Legislature being declared lead agency with respect to the environmental review of the proposal to add the subject parcels (described in Schedule A, attached hereto) to Sullivan County Agricultural District No. 1 and Sullivan Agricultural District No. 4; and

WHEREAS, a short form Environmental Assessment (attached hereto) has been prepared which concludes that the proposal to add parcels to Sullivan County Agricultural Districts No. 1 and No. 4 will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and recommends that the County Legislature issue a Negative Declaration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature hereby accepts the Environmental Assessment described in the SEQRA Environmental Assessment Form (attached hereto); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature hereby issues a Negative Declaration pursuant to the provisions of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act, with respect to the proposal to add the subject parcels (described in Schedule A, attached hereto) to Sullivan County Agricultural District No. 1 and Sullivan County Agricultural District No. 4; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature authorizes the Chairman of the Legislature to execute the Environmental Assessment Form.

Moved by , seconded by , put to a vote with and declared duly adopted on motion .

Schedule A

Agricultural District No. 1

Town of Liberty	323-14
Town of Liberty	323-15
Town of Tusten	7.B-1-15
Town of Tusten	221-18.1
Town of Tusten	221-18.11

Agricultural District No. 4

Town of Fallsburg	31-6.22
Town of Neversink	91-14

As portrayed on the Sullivan County Tax Maps on May 3, 2012.

New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

PART I

1.	The proposed action is located in the County of Sullivan and the Town(s) of Fallsburg, Liberty,
	Neversink and Tusten .
2.	The agency responsible for preparing this Environmental Assessment Form and determining
	environmental significance is the County Legislative Body of <u>Sullivan</u> County.
3.	The name and address for the Clerk of the above named County is <u>Daniel L. Briggs, 100 North Street,</u>
	PO Box 5012, Monticello NY 12701
4.	Is this an application for the formation of a new agricultural district? Yes No If yes, what is
	the total number of acres to be included in this district? acres
5.	Is this an application to modify an existing district? Me Yes No If yes, what is the total number of
	acres comprising the district as it exists prior to modification? 161,216.80 acres
6.	If this application involves a modification, will such modification result in a change in the size of the
	district? Yes No If yes, how many acres are involved in the change?
	.200.98
7.	Is there a public controversy related to this district proposal? Yes No If yes, describe in space
	below:
D	Ouring the June 21, 2012 public hearing, no negative public comments were received
	egarding any of the parcels recommended for inclusion.
	lowever, written comments were received regarding Neversink #91-14, and incorporated
	nto the written record of the public hearing. With respect to this parcel, there is public
	oncern regarding the use of a residential dwelling for farm vacation rentals, and the use of a arm as a restaurant/banquet hall. Neither of these uses are permitted within the parcel's
	oning district. In addition, there is concern that the applicant has not obtained the proper
	ermits and fire safety equipment, as required by the NYS Building Code. Finally, there is
•	oncern because it is unknown whether the applicant has met the NYS Department of
	lealth requirements regarding food preparation, public water supply and sewage disposal.
A	
	also, with respect to Liberty #321-14 and 321-15, residents and a public official have
	xpressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential
it	xpressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential npacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population,
ir li	xpressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential mpacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, vestock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which
ir li c	xpressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential mpacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, vestock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for
in li c	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential impacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, westock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were
in li c in e	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential impacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, westock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were expressed to SC Ag and Farmland Protection Board members and staff before and after the
in li c in e	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential enpacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, evestock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were
in li c in e	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential impacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, westock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were expressed to SC Ag and Farmland Protection Board members and staff before and after the
in li c in e	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential impacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, westock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were expressed to SC Ag and Farmland Protection Board members and staff before and after the
in li c in e	expressed concern due to the fact that the farm is within a residential area. Potential impacts cited by neighbors include odors from compost, an increase in rat population, westock escapes, and a general decline in the visual quality of the neighborhood which ould lead to declining property values. No oral or written comments were received for accorporation into the written record of public hearing. The above concerns were expressed to SC Ag and Farmland Protection Board members and staff before and after the

The Department of Agriculture and Markets, as lead agency for the Agricultural Districts Program, has conducted a programmatic review of the environmental effects of agricultural districting and has concluded that there is little likelihood of significant adverse environmental impact resulting form the formation or modification of such districts. It is, however, the responsibility of the agency preparing this form to review the site-specific proposal under consideration to determine if unique circumstances exist which increase the likelihood of environmental significance. If any such circumstances exist, please describe them on the space provided below and explain how the resulting impact will be mitigated. (Refer to the criteria contained in 6NYCRR§ 617.11 for aid in determining the likelihood of significance and whether or not it is material, substantial, large or important.)

	PARTI	II
Please	environmental impacts will be primarily of local sign Legislative Body serve as lead agency to insur	he County Legislative Body and since any adverse nificance it is hereby recommended that this County e compliance with the requirements of the State determined that the only other agency required to
	The County Legislative Body does not choose to non	ninate itself to serve as lead agency.
	PART I	v
The C	County Legislative Body of <u>Sullivan County</u> has determine	ned that: (check one)
	The proposed action will not have a significant Environmental Impact Statement is not required.	adverse environmental impact and therefore ar
	•	pated by the Department of Agriculture and Markets in ult from the proposed action, it has been determined liverse environmental impact.
	. ·	significant environmental impact will result from the act Statement will be required and will be prepared o dertaking any action.
This E	Environmental Assessment Form was prepared for the	County of Sullivan by Sullivan County Legislature.
	Authorized Signature	Telephone
	Chairman of Legislature	



COUNTY OF SULLIVAN LEGISLATIVE MEMORANDUM

TO: County Legislature							
FROM: Luiz C. Aragon							
Title: Commissioner							
Department: Planning &	Environme	ental N	Managemer	<u>1t</u>			
COMMITTEE WITH JURISDICTION [] Community & Economic Development [] Management & Budget [] Public Works [] Executive [] Personnel [] Veterans [] Government Services [X] Planning, Environmental Management & Real Property [] Health & Family Services [] Public Safety Check all that apply SUBJECT OF RESOLUTION: Include viable agricultural land into the Agricultural Districts							
PURPOSE OF RESOLUTION Districts	N: Include	viable	e agricultur	al land in	ito t	he Agricu	ltural
DATE OF FIRST SUBMISSION	ON: July 1	2, 201	2				:
BRIEF DESCRIPTION: Authagricultural land into Agricultur				ls contair	ing	predomin	antly viable
COSTS TO OTHER COUNT	Y PROGR	AMS	: None				
[X] Mandated [] Budgeted	[] Budge	et Rev	ision Neces	ssary			
FINANCIAL IMPACT:	Balance of	of					
Proiection: County Cost	YR1 \$	\$	YR2	YR3	\$	YR4	
State Funds	\$	\$	5	\$	\$		
Federal Funds	\$	\$	<u> </u>	\$	\$		
Other	\$	\$		\$	\$		
Total .	\$			\$	\$		
If NONE check here:[X]							

RESOLUTION NO. INTRODUCED BY THE PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO INCLUDE VIABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND IN AN EXISTING CERTIFIED AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT WITHIN SULLIVAN COUNTY

WHEREAS, Section 303-b of the Agriculture and Markets Law authorizes the inclusion of viable agricultural land into certified agricultural districts; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sullivan's Agricultural & Farmland Protection Board has recommended the subject parcels (described in Schedule A, attached) to be added to the existing certified Agricultural District No. 1 and Agricultural District No. 4 within Sullivan County; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the inclusion of these parcels into the existing certified Agricultural District No. 1 and Agricultural District No. 4 on June 21st, 2012 at which time all comments were heard by the Sullivan County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, all comments and considerations brought to the attention of the Sullivan County Legislature and the Sullivan County Division of Planning and Environmental Management were considered during all phases of the inclusion process, including but not limited to the environmental review process, in determining whether or not the following parcels were to be included in the existing certified Agricultural District No. 1 and Agricultural District No. 4.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the subject parcels (described in Schedule A, attached) are to be included in the existing certified Agricultural District No. 1 and Agricultural District No. 4.

Moved by , seconded by , put to a vote with and declared duly adopted on motion .

Schedule A

Agricultural District No. 1

Town of Liberty	323-14
Town of Liberty	323-15
Town of Tusten	7.B-1-15
Town of Tusten	221-18.1
Town of Tusten	221-18.11

Agricultural District No. 4
Town of Fallsburg
Town of Neversink 3.-1-6.22 9.-1-14

As portrayed on the Sullivan County Tax Maps on May 3, 2012.



COUNTY OF SULLIVAN LEGISLATIVE MEMORANDUM

TO: County Legislature					
FROM: Lynda Levine					
Title: <u>Director</u>					
Department: Real Prope	rty Tax Ser	vices			
COMMITTEE WITH JURIS [] Community & Economic Development [] Executive [] Government Services [] Health & Family Services Check all that apply SUBJECT OF RESOLUTION Sullivan County	nt [] Manage [] Personn [X] Plannin [] Public S	el ag, Environmental Safety	Management &		iation of
PURPOSE OF RESOLUTIO Association of Sullivan Coun	•	offer to trans	sfer proper	ty to Jewish	General Aid
DATE OF FIRST SUBMISSI	ON: July 1	12, 2012			
BRIEF DESCRIPTION: accessommonly referred to as the Land currently encumbered by the Land Legislature to execute the necessa	field Ave. Sy lfield Ave. S	ynagogue, to tra ynagogue. Aut	ansfer a strip thorized Chai	of County ow rman of Sulliv	ned property
COSTS TO OTHER COUNT	Y PROGR	RAMS: NON	E		
[] Mandated [] Budgeted	[] Budge	et Revision Ne	ecessary		
FINANCIAL IMPACT:					
Proiection: County Cost State Funds	\$ YR1 \$ \$	YR2 \$	YR3 \$	YR4 \$	-
Federal Funds	\$	\$	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_
Other	\$	\$	\$	\$	-
Total		\$	\$	\$	_
If NONE check here: [X]					

Resolution	No.	
------------	-----	--

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT AN OFFER FROM THE JEWISH GENERAL AID ASSOCIATION OF SULLIVAN COUNTY TO PURCHASE A STRIP OF LAND

WHEREAS, the County of Sullivan owns property in the Village of Monticello designated on the Sullivan County Real Property Tax Map as Town of Thompson 112.-1-12, for purposes of jury parking; and

WHEREAS, the Jewish General Aid Association of Sullivan County, commonly referred to as the Landfield Avenue Synagogue ("Synagogue"), owns property adjacent to the County property (THO 112.-1-13) where they inadvertently placed two propane tanks on the County of Sullivan property; and

WHEREAS, the Synagogue has offered to purchase a portion of the property consisting of eight feet by thirty-nine feet containing 312 square feet which encompasses the propane tanks, and

WHEREAS, a fair market analysis was conducted by County officials and the sum of One Hundred Fifty and 00/100 (\$150.00) Dollars was determined to be fair market price; and

WHEREAS, the Real Property Advisory Board and the Sullivan County Division of Public Works discussed this matter and both concur that it is in the best interest of the County of Sullivan to convey the strip of land; and

WHEREAS, the Synagogue is responsible to prepare all documents for the conveyance, and pay all necessary fees; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to this sale, the Synagogue must request the Village to combine this parcel with the parcel owned by them.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Chairman of the Sullivan County Legislature is hereby authorized to execute the necessary documents in order to covey the above described portion of property to the Synagogue at the agreed upon price of One Hundred Fifty and 00/100 (\$150.00) Dollars.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the purchaser will be responsible for the recording fees and any other applicable charges, including but not limited to, omitted & pro rata taxes and water and sewer charges, if any.

Moved by,	
Seconded by ,	
and adopted on motion	. 2012

S.C.R.P.T.S. DEED/SUBDIVISION REPORT								
MONTH OF	June	2012	DEEDS SUBDIVISON LO					
SUBDIVISION								
LOTS	DEEDS	TOWN	MONTH	2011	2012	2011	2012	
	31	BETHEL	JANUARY	198	220	0	6	
	8	CALLICOON	FEBRUARY	194	195	4	4	
***************************************	6	COCHECTON	MARCH	188	179	20	16	
	12	DELAWARE	APRIL	199	181	8	8	
3	58	FALLSBURG	MAY	153	205	31	56	
	6	FORESTBURGH	JUNE	262	292	2	22	
	4	FREMONT	JULY	264		6		
	6	HIGHLAND	AUGUST	400		410		
	26	LIBERTY	SEPTEMBER	244		2		
3	11	LUMBERLAND	OCTOBER	198		8		
	25	MAMAKATING	NOVEMBER	239		7		
	11	NEVERSINK	DECEMBER	198		6		
	12	ROCKLAND	TOTAL	2737	1272	504	112	
16	66	THOMPSON						
	10	TUSTEN						
22	292							
		1272 NEW DE	EDS FILED IN	2012				
		112 NEW SUBDIVISION LOTS FILED IN 2012						

This report reflects the number of deeds recorded in the County Clerks office for June 2012.

70 County Auction Deed were included in the total deeds for the month of June 2012.

12 units of the 22 Subdivision lots total for June 2012 were Condos.