

SULLIVAN COUNTY LEGISLATURE

Planning, Environmental Management and Real Property Committee Thursday, July 11, 2013 ~ 11:30 AM

Committee Members: Alan Sorensen (Chair); Cindy Kurpil Gieger (Vice Chair); Kathy LaBuda; Cora Edwards; and Ira Steingart

AGENDA

DISCUSSION: None

PRESENTATIONS: None

RESOLUTIONS:

COUNTY ATTORNEY - None

COUNTY TREASURER - None

PLANNING

- 1. To issue a Negative Declaration pursuant to the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act regarding the addition of Parcels of Real Property to Existing Certified Agricultural Districts within Sullivan County.
- 2. To Include Viable Agricultural Land in an Existing Certified Agricultural District within Sullivan County.

REAL PROPERTY

- 3. To correct the 2012 Tax Roll of the Town of Fallsburg for Tax Map #111.-1-3.8/2601.
- 4. To correct the 2013 Tax Roll of the Town of Fallsburg for Tax Map #111.-1-3.8/2601.
- 5. To correct the 2013 Tax Roll of the Town of Fallsburg for Tax Map #39.-1-1.2.

DEPARTMENT/PROGRAM UPDATES AND REPORTS:

County Attorney: - None

County Treasurer: - Update on Current Issues

Real Property: - Monthly Deed and Subdivision Report

DIVISION DISCUSSION ITEMS:

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

To:	Sullivan County Legislature			
Fr:	Jill M. Weyer, SC Division of Pla	nning and Environmental M	lanagement	
Re:	Request for Consideration of a R	esolution:		
Date:	July 2, 2013			
well a This	ose of Resolution: [Provide a detaile s a justification for approval by the S resolution is to issue a negative els to the Agricultural District 4	ullivan County Legislature.] declaration under SEQR	for the action of adding	
SEQ	oject of Resolution mandated? Exp R is mandatory for the action of	completing the amendme	ent to the Agricultural Distri	ct
4 pui	rsuant to the annual 30 day wind	low for inclusion.		
Does	Resolution require expenditure of	funds? Yes No X		
	es, provide the following information			
	nount to be authorized by Resolution			
	e funds already budgeted? Yes			
If ('Yes" specify appropriation code(s 'No", specify proposed source of fu);	***************************************	
	timated Cost Breakdown by Source		***************************************	
	unty \$	Grant(s)	\$	
Sta		Other	\$	
Fee	deral Government \$	(Specify)	**************************************	
Verifi	ied by Budget Office:	etnys		
Does	Resolution request Authority to E	iter into a Contract? Yes	No X	
	es", provide information requested			
	cquire all pre-legislative approvals	-		

	ith [] of
Nature of Other Party to Contract:	Other:
Duration of Contract: From To	
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No If "Yes" provide the following information:	
Dates of prior contract(s): From Amount authorized by prior contract(s): Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resol	
Future Renewal Options if any:	action risj.
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or se If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how	
If "No" provide other justification for County to have resources in-house, best source of the subject r	
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract peri	odl. (If specific sum is not known state
maximum potential cost):	
maximum potential cost):	

A. Director of Purcha	sing: why fines	Date	7/2/13
B. Management and l	Budget: Jane My	Date	7/2/13
C. Law Department:	5. Yasqur	Date	1/3/13
D. County Manager:	In Pours	Date	7/3/13
E. Other as Required	:	Date	
Vetted in	Comn	nittee on	

RESOLUTION NO. INTRODUCED BY THE PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO ISSUE A NEGATIVE DECLARATION PURSUANT TO THE NEW YORK STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT REGARDING THE ADDITION OF PARCELS OF REAL PROPERTY TO EXISTING CERTIFIED AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS WITHIN SULLIVAN COUNTY

WHEREAS, The Sullivan County Legislature has the authority under New York State Agriculture and Markets Law to include additional parcels in the existing certified Agricultural Agricultural District No. 4 on a yearly basis; and

WHEREAS, the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets has consented to the Sullivan County Legislature being declared lead agency with respect to the environmental review of the proposal to add parcels to Sullivan Agricultural District No. 4; and

WHEREAS, a short form Environmental Assessment (attached hereto) has been prepared which concludes that the proposal to add parcels to Sullivan County Agricultural Districts No. 4 will not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and recommends that the County Legislature issue a Negative Declaration.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature hereby accepts the Environmental Assessment described in the SEQRA Environmental Assessment Form (attached hereto); and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature hereby issues a Negative Declaration pursuant to the provisions of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act, with respect to the proposal to add parcels to Sullivan County Agricultural District No. 4; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature authorizes the Chairman of the Legislature to execute the Environmental Assessment Form.

Moved by , seconded by , put to a vote with and declared duly adopted on motion .

New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

PART I

1.	The proposed action is located in the County of <u>Sullivan</u> and the Town(s) of <u>Highland</u> ,
	Mamakating , Forestburgh, Bethel, Liberty, Neversink, Rockland, Thompson, and Fallsburg
2.	The agency responsible for preparing this Environmental Assessment Form and determining
	environmental significance is the County Legislative Body of <u>Sullivan</u> County.
3.	The name and address for the Clerk of the above named County is Daniel L. Briggs, 100 North Street,
	PO Box 5012, Monticello NY 12701
4.	Is this an application for the formation of a new agricultural district? Yes No If yes, what is
	the total number of acres to be included in this district? acres
5.	Is this an application to modify an existing district? Yes No If yes, what is the total number of
	acres comprising the district as it exists prior to modification? 46,473 acres
6.	If this application involves a modification, will such modification result in a change in the size of the
	district? Yes No If yes, how many acres are involved in the change?
	18
7.	Is there a public controversy related to this district proposal?
	below:
D	uring the June 20, 2013 public hearing, no negative public comments were received
	uring the June 20, 2013 public hearing, no negative public comments were received garding any of the parcels recommended for inclusion.

PART II

The Department of Agriculture and Markets, as lead agency for the Agricultural Districts Program, has conducted a programmatic review of the environmental effects of agricultural districting and has concluded that there is little likelihood of significant adverse environmental impact resulting form the formation or modification of such districts. It is, however, the responsibility of the agency preparing this form to review the site-specific proposal under consideration to determine if unique circumstances exist which increase the likelihood of environmental significance. If any such circumstances exist, please describe them on the space provided below and explain how the resulting impact will be mitigated. (Refer to the criteria contained in 6NYCRR§ 617.11 for aid in determining the likelihood of significance and whether or not it is material, substantial, large or important.)

PART III

Please	e indicate desire for lead agency status by checking the appropriate box below:
	Since the proposed action will be undertaken by the County Legislative Body and since any adverse
	environmental impacts will be primarily of local significance it is hereby recommended that this County
	Legislative Body serve as lead agency to insure compliance with the requirements of the State
	Environmental Quality Review Act. It has been determined that the only other agency required to
	undertake an action in this case is the Department of Agriculture and Markets.
	The County Legislative Body does not choose to nominate itself to serve as lead agency.
	PART IV
The C	ounty Legislative Body of <u>Sullivan County</u> has determined that: (check one)
	The proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact and therefore an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.
	Although unique circumstances beyond those anticipated by the Department of Agriculture and Markets in its programmatic environmental assessment will result from the proposed action, it has been determined that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact.
	Due to unique circumstances detailed in Part II, significant environmental impact will result from the proposed action. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement will be required and will be prepared or approved by this County Legislative Body prior to undertaking any action.
This E	Environmental Assessment Form was prepared for the County of <u>Sullivan</u> by <u>Sullivan County Legislature</u> .
	Authorized Signature Telephone
	Chairman of Legislature

To:	Sullivan County Legislature		
Fr:	Jill M. Weyer, Acting Commission Management	er, SC Division of Planning	g and Environmental
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Res	solution: Inclusion of New Review	Parcels for Ag Dist 4 8- Year
Date:	July 2, 2013		
well as This	se of Resolution: [Provide a detailed a justification for approval by the Suresolution is to add parcels to the vindow for requests for inclusion	llivan County Legislature.] NYS Agricultural Distr	rict 4 pursuant to the annual 30
•	ject of Resolution mandated? Explacultural Districts have an annual ded.		the opportunity for parcels to
	Resolution require expenditure of fu		
	s, provide the following information		
	ount to be authorized by Resolution funds already budgeted? Yes N		
	Yes" specify appropriation code(s):		
	No", specify proposed source of fun		
	imated Cost Breakdown by Source:		
	unty	Grant(s)	\$
Sta		Other	\$
Fed	leral Government \$	(Specify)	
Verifi	ed by Budget Office:	my	
Does 1	Resolution request Authority to Ent	er into a Contract? Yes	No ×
	es", provide information requested		
	equire all pre-legislative approvals.	-	

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract	
	1
Nature of Other Party to Contract:	Other:
Duration of Contract: FromT	0
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes If "Yes" provide the following information:	No
Amount authorized by prior contract(s)	To
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Re	solution #s):
Future Renewal Options if any:	
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe he	The state of the s
If "No" provide other justification for County have resources in-house, best source of the subject	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract p maximum potential cost):	- · · · · ·
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:	
Efforts made to share costs with another agence	cy or governmental entity:
Specify Compliance with Procurement Proced	
Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract	(Title): fill Neyer

Α.	Director of Purchasing:	Kooty lones	Date	7/2/13
В.	Management and Budget:	Janetmyn	Date	7/2/13
C.	Law Department:	1 S. Hasam	Date _	2/3/13
D.	County Manager:	July Potone	Date _	7/3/13
E.	Other as Required:		Date	
Vetted in		Comp	nittee on	

RESOLUTION NO. INTRODUCED BY THE PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO INCLUDE VIABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND IN AN EXISTING CERTIFIED AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT WITHIN SULLIVAN COUNTY

WHEREAS, Section 303-b of the Agriculture and Markets Law authorizes the inclusion of viable agricultural land into certified agricultural districts; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sullivan's Agricultural & Farmland Protection Board has recommended the following parcels to be added to the existing certified Agricultural District No. 4 within Sullivan County; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the inclusion of these parcels into the existing certified Agricultural District No. 4 on June 20th, 2013 at which time all comments were heard by the Sullivan County Legislature; and

WHEREAS, all comments and considerations brought to the attention of the Sullivan County Legislature and the Sullivan County Division of Planning and Environmental Management were considered during all phases of the inclusion process, including but not limited to the environmental review process, in determining whether or not the following parcels were to be included in the existing certified Agricultural District No. 4.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the following parcels are to be included in the existing certified Agricultural District No. 4:

Agricultural District No. 4

Town of Highland	101-47.1
Town of Highland	101-47.2
Town of Mamakating	211-32.3
Town of Mamakating	211-32.4

Moved by , seconded by , put to a vote with and declared duly adopted on motion .

Sullivan County Legislature				
Lynda G. Levine, Director of Real Property Tax Services				
Request for Consideration of a Resolution:				
Date: July 3, 2013				
Purpose of Resolution: [Provide a detailed statement of what the Resolution will accomplish, as well as a justification for approval by the Sullivan County Legislature.] This is a Resolution to approve a correction of the 2012 tax rolls for town and county purposes pursuant to seciton 556 of the Real Proeprty Tax Law that was caused by an arithmetical error in calculating the value of improvement to real property.				
Is subject of Resolution mandated? Explain: This Resolution is mandated by Section 556 of the Real Property Tax Law				
Does Resolution require expenditure of funds? Yes X No				
If "Yes, provide the following information:				
Amount to be authorized by Resolution: \$244.63				
Are funds already budgeted? Yes No				
If "Yes" specify appropriation code(s): A1989-99-47-4731				
If "No", specify proposed source of funds:				
Estimated Cost Breakdown by Source:				
County \$\frac{139.75}{2000} \text{ Grant(s)} \frac{104.00}{2000}				
State \$ Other \$\frac{104.88}{2}				
Verified by Budget Office: Does Resolution request Authority to Enter into a Contract? YesNo If "Yes", provide information requested on Pages 2. If "NO", please go straight to Page 3				
and acquire all pre-legislative approvals.				

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with [of
Nature of Other Party to Contract:	Other:
Duration of Contract: From To	
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? YesNo	
If "Yes" provide the following information:	
Dates of prior contract(s): From To	
Amount authorized by prior contract(s):	
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s)	
Future Renewal Options if any:	
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services M	andated? Ves No
If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this cont	
If "No" provide other justification for County to enter in have resources in-house, best source of the subject materials,	
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If maximum potential cost):	-
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:	
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or govern	nmental entity:
Specify Compliance with Procurement Procedures (Bid, 1	-
procurement is not applicable	*
Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract (Title):	

Pre-Legislative Approvals:	
A. Director of Purchasing:	Date
B. Management and Budget:	Date 7 3 13
C. Law Department:	Date 1813
D. County Manager: Al Poton	Date
E. Other as Required:	Date
Votted in Comm	ittaa on

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO CORRECT THE 2012 TAX ROLL OF THE TOWN OF FALLSBURG FOR TAX MAP #111.-1-3.8/2601

WHEREAS, an application dated May 28, 2013 having been filed by Aaron and Gitty Klein with respect to property assessed to said applicant on the 2012 tax roll of the Town of Fallsburg Tax Map #111.-1-3.8/2601 pursuant to Section 556 of the Real Property Tax Law, to correct a clerical error on said tax roll resulting from an entry on the tax rolls which is incorrect by reason of an arithmetical error by the assessor appearing on the property record card, to wit; the value of an improvement was incorrectly calculated; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Real Property Tax Services has duly investigated the application and filed her report dated July 3, 2013 recommending this Board approve said application; and

WHEREAS, this Board has duly examined the application and report and does find as follows:

(a) That the application be approved because of a clerical error

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the application be approved upon the grounds herein set forth; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the County Legislature be and he hereby is directed to note the decision of this Board on the application, execute an order to such effect to the officer having custody of the tax roll, send notice of approval to the applicant and file a copy of the records of this proceeding with the Clerk of the County Legislature; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amount of any tax corrected pursuant to this Resolution shall be a charge upon the said municipal corporation or special district to the extent of any such municipal corporation or special district taxes that were so levied and that the amount so charged to any such municipal corporation or special district shall be included in the next ensuing tax levy and to the extent that the levy made pursuant to this resolution includes a relevied school tax, the Treasurer shall charge back such amount in accordance with law.

Moved by	**************************************	
Seconded by		,
and adopted on motion	day of	, 2013.

To:	Sullivan County Legislature		
Fr:	Lynda G. Levine, Director of Real Property Tax Services		
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Resolution:		
Date:	July 3, 2013		
well as This purpo	se of Resolution: [Provide a detailed state is a justification for approval by the Sullivis a Resolution to approve a correct passes pursuant to seciton 556 of the Imetical error in calculating the value	an County Legistion of the 201 Real Proeprty	slature.] 3 tax rolls for town and county Tax Law that was caused by an
This	ject of Resolution mandated? Explain Resolution is mandated by Section	556 of the Rea	
	Resolution require expenditure of fund	ls? Yes X No _	
	s, provide the following information:		
	ount to be authorized by Resolution: \$	<u>3251.85</u>	
	e funds already budgeted? Yes No		
	Yes" specify appropriation code(s): A		
	No", specify proposed source of funds		
	imated Cost Breakdown by Source:	6 (()	0
	\$\frac{145.97}{2}	Grant(s)	0.105.00
Sta	***************************************	Other	\$ <u>105.88</u>
rea	leral Government \$	(Specify)	Town of Fallsburg
Verific	ed by Budget Office:	try	
Does 1	Resolution request Authority to Enter	into a Contract	Ves No X
	es", provide information requested on		
	equire all pre-legislative approvals.	ween we are 140	, premot go strangar to 1 age o

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with []
Nature of Other Party to Contract: Other:
Duration of Contract: From To
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No If "Yes" provide the following information:
Dates of prior contract(s): From To Amount authorized by prior contract(s):
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s): Future Renewal Options if any:
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services Mandated? Yes No If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this contract satisfies the requirements:
If "No" provide other justification for County to enter into this Contract: [County does not have resources in-house, best source of the subject materials, required by grant, etc.]:
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If specific sum is not known stamaximum potential cost):
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or governmental entity:
Specify Compliance with Procurement Procedures (Bid, Request for Proposal, Quote, etc
- procurement is not applicable the
Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract (Title):

A. 3	Director of Purchasing:	(att fine	_ Date
В.	Management and Budget: .	Janetry	Date
C. 1	Law Department:	J. Jasam	Date 7/3/13
D.	County Manager:	July Poton	Date 7/3/13
E.	Other as Required:		Date
Vetted in		Commit	tee on

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO CORRECT THE 2013 TAX ROLL OF THE TOWN OF FALLSBURG FOR TAX MAP #111.-1-3.8/2601

WHEREAS, an application dated May 28, 2013 having been filed by Aaron and Gitty Klein with respect to property assessed to said applicant on the 2013 tax roll of the Town of Fallsburg Tax Map #111.-1-3.8/2601 pursuant to Section 556 of the Real Property Tax Law, to correct a clerical error on said tax roll resulting from an entry on the tax rolls which is incorrect by reason of an arithmetical error by the assessor appearing on the property record card, to wit; the value of an improvement was incorrectly calculated; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Real Property Tax Services has duly investigated the application and filed her report dated July 3, 2013 recommending this Board approve said application; and

WHEREAS, this Board has duly examined the application and report and does find as follows:

(a) That the application be approved because of a clerical error

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the application be approved upon the grounds herein set forth; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the County Legislature be and he hereby is directed to note the decision of this Board on the application, execute an order to such effect to the officer having custody of the tax roll, send notice of approval to the applicant and file a copy of the records of this proceeding with the Clerk of the County Legislature; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amount of any tax corrected pursuant to this Resolution shall be a charge upon the said municipal corporation or special district to the extent of any such municipal corporation or special district taxes that were so levied and that the amount so charged to any such municipal corporation or special district shall be included in the next ensuing tax levy and to the extent that the levy made pursuant to this resolution includes a relevied school tax, the Treasurer shall charge back such amount in accordance with law.

Moved by	<u>,</u> ,	
Seconded by		
and adopted on motion	day of	, 2013.

To:	Sullivan County Legislature			
Fr:	Lynda G. Levine, Director of Real P	roperty Tax Serv	vices	
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Reso	lution:		
Date:	July 3, 2013			
well as This purpo	ose of Resolution: [Provide a detailed states a justification for approval by the Sullis a Resolution to approve a correct oses pursuant to seciton 556 of the rect entry of 163 sewer units which	ivan County Legis ction of the 201 Real Proeprty	slature.] 3 tax rolls for town and county Tax Law that was caused by an	
	oject of Resolution mandated? Explai Resolution is mandated by Section		al Property Tax Law	
Does 1	Resolution require expenditure of fun	ds? Yes X No _		
	es, provide the following information:			
An	nount to be authorized by Resolution:	\$ <u>704.73</u>		
Arc	e funds already budgeted? Yes No	\times		
	"Yes" specify appropriation code(s): _			
If "	"No", specify proposed source of fund	s: funds to com	e from town sewer district	
Est	timated Cost Breakdown by Source:			
Co	unty \$	Grant(s)	\$	
Sta	s	Other	\$ <u>704.73</u>	
Fed	deral Government \$	(Specify)	Town of Fallsburg	
Verifi	ied by Budget Office:	enet Mlfz	<u> </u>	
D	Desclution manual Anthonis	المام م	/ 9 Was No V	
	Resolution request Authority to Enter			
	es", provide information requested o equire all pre-legislative approvals.	n rages 2. II "IN	o, picase go straight to rage 3	
anu a	and acquire an pre-registative approvats.			

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with [
Nature of Other Party to Contract:	ther:
Duration of Contract: From To	
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No If "Yes" provide the following information:	
Dates of prior contract(s): From To Amount authorized by prior contract(s):	
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s):	C.W. W.
Future Renewal Options if any:	
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services Mandated? Ye If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this contract satisfies	
If "No" provide other justification for County to enter into this Contr have resources in-house, best source of the subject materials, required by g	- · ·
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If specific surmaximum potential cost):	
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:	
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or governmental enti	ty:
Specify Compliance with Procurement Procedures (Bid, Request for 1	Proposal, Quote, etc.)
Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract (Title):	

A. Director of Purchasi	ng:	Date
B. Management and Bu	idget: Janetry	Date 7 3 13
C. Law Department:	Migrapy . Z	Date
D. County Manager:	Inda Potens	Date7/3/13
E. Other as Required:		Date
• -		
Vetted in	Comn	nittee on

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY PLANNING, ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT AND REAL PROPERTY COMMITTEE TO CORRECT THE 2013 TAX ROLL OF THE TOWN OF FALLSBURG FOR TAX MAP #39.-1-1.2

WHEREAS, an application dated May 2, 2013 having been filed by Chut Hameshulash, Inc. with respect to property assessed to said applicant on the 2013 tax roll of the Town of Fallsburg Tax Map #39.-1-1.2 pursuant to Section 556 of the Real Property Tax Law, to correct a clerical error on said tax roll resulting from the incorrect entry of 163 sewer units on the tax bill which should have been 109 sewer units; and

WHEREAS, applicant also sought a correction based on the value of the improvement, and

WHEREAS, the Director of Real Property Tax Services has duly investigated the application and filed her report dated July 3, 2013 recommending this Board approve said application in part with respect to the incorrect entry of sewer units and deny said application in part with respect to the challenge of the value of the improvement on the basis that said value could only be challenged pursuant to RPTL 524; and

WHEREAS, this Board has duly examined the application and report and does find as follows:

(a) That the application be approved in part because of a clerical error as recommended.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the application be approved upon the grounds herein set forth; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman of the County Legislature be and he hereby is directed to note the decision of this Board on the application, execute an order to such effect to the officer having custody of the tax roll, send notice of approval to the applicant and file a copy of the records of this proceeding with the Clerk of the County Legislature; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amount of any tax corrected pursuant to this Resolution shall be a charge upon the said municipal corporation or special district to the extent of any such municipal corporation or special district taxes that were so levied and that the amount so charged to any such municipal corporation or special district shall be included in the next ensuing tax levy and to the extent that the levy made pursuant to this resolution includes a relevied school tax, the Treasurer shall charge back such amount in accordance with law.

Moved by	······································	
Seconded by		,
and adopted on motion	day of	2013