

GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE

April 10, 2014 – 1:00 PM

Committee Members: Kitty Vetter (Chair), Cora Edwards (Vice Chair), Gene Benson, Cindy Kurpil Geiger, Alan Sorensen

AGENDA

DISCUSSION ITEMS: None

PRESENTATION: None

REPORTS:

Purchasing & Central Services - Monthly Report
 Board of Elections - Monthly Report
 Cornell Cooperative/Consumer Affairs - Monthly Report
 County Clerk

RESOLUTIONS:

5.

- 1. Rescinding Resolution No. 477-06 and Resolution No. 137-12 and Implementing a new policy for contract agency funding requiring any agency funded by the County to submit detailed budget requests and supporting documentation as a condition of payment.
- 2. To amend the County's Procurement Policy.

Sullivan County Community College

- 3. To amend the County Procurement Policy to authorize utilization of the "Best Value" Provisions set forth in Local Law 1 of 2014.
- 4. To amend the County Procurement Policy to authorize utilization of the "Piggyback" Provision of General Municipal Law § 103 (16).
- 5. To authorize award & execution of agreement with Advance Testing Company, Inc. for Quality Assurance Asphalt Plant Inspection for 2014 resurfacing program.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

10.	Sumvan County Legislature		
Fr:	Joshua Potosek		
Re:	Request for Consideration of a	Resolution: Rescind Reso 47	7-06 & Reso 137-12
Date:	4/07/14		
well as Resci	se of Resolution: [Provide a detains a justification for approval by the nding Resolution No. 477-06 policy for contract agency funders.	e Sullivan County Legislature. & Resolution No. 137-12	and implementing a
Coun	ty to submit detailed budget r		
If "Ye	Resolution require expenditure of s, provide the following informa	tion:	
Are If "	ount to be authorized by Resolu funds already budgeted? Yes_ Yes" specify appropriation code No", specify proposed source of	_ No (s):	
	imated Cost Breakdown by Sour		
	ınty	Grant(s)	\$
Sta		Other	\$
Verifie	ed by Budget Office:	(Specify)	
	Resolution request Authority to s., provide information requests		No
11 I C	o . Digvigo inigilizioni regiment	cu un fayes 2 ano 3.	

Request for Authority to Enter into Contr	ract with [] of
Nature of Other Party to Contract:	Other:
Duration of Contract: From	_ То
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes _	
If "Yes" provide the following information:	
Dates of prior contract(s): From	To
	t(s):
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s):
Future Renewal Options if any:	
	/or services Mandated? Yes No e how this contract satisfies the requirements:
If "No" provide other justification for Counhave resources in-house, best source of the sub-	nty to enter into this Contract: [County does not oject materials, required by grant, etc.]:
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract maximum potential cost):	t period]: (If specific sum is not known state
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative	:
Efforts made to share costs with another ag	ency or governmental entity:

Specify Compliance with Procure		est for Proposal, Quote	, etc
Person(s) responsible for monitor	ring contract (Title):	Ų	
Pre-Legislative Approvals:	. A		
A. Director of Purchasing	: Yusy fines	Date	
B. Management and Budg	get: Janetmyn	Date	- Lancascape
C. Law Department:	S. You gur 00	Date _ 4 8 1	
D. County Manager:	John Voterel	Date	1
E. Other as Required:		Date	
Vetted in Government Services	s Committee Comm	uittee on 04/10/2014	

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY THE GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE RESCINDING RESOLUTION NO. 477 OF 2006 & RESOLUTION NO. 137 OF 2012 AND IMPLEMENTING A NEW POLICY FOR CONTRACT AGENCY FUNDING REQUIRING ANY AGENCY FUNDED BY THE COUNTY TO SUBMIT DETAILED BUDGET REQUESTS AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AS A CONDITION OF PAYMENT

WHEREAS, the County Manager has recommended that any agency or organization requesting funding from the County of Sullivan shall be required to submit a budget request that shall detail the agencies projected budget for the upcoming year, and

WHEREAS, the County Manager has recommended that any agency or organization that enters into a contract as a result of an appropriation of funding in the Adopted Budget shall be required to submit certain documentation prior to receiving funds by the County, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Sullivan County Legislature that the following is hereby adopted as the public policy of the County of Sullivan and that all other resolutions relating to contract agency funding are hereby rescinded effective December 31, 2014:

- 1. All contract agencies listed on the attached schedule "A" shall be required to submit electronically to the County the following:
 - a. A budget request that details the amount and purpose of the requested funds for the upcoming year, including, at a minimum, total revenues itemized by source and total appropriations itemized by category of expense
 - b. A mission statement
 - c. A copy of incorporation documents, bylaws, and a listing of governing board members
- 2. All contract agencies listed in "Category A" on the attached schedule, shall be required to submit to the County the following:
 - a. At least annually submit in writing to the committee having jurisdiction or attend a meeting of the committee and report recent activity of the organization and upcoming projects, which is to become an official record of the committee meeting
- 3. All contract agencies listed in "Category B" on the attached schedule, shall be required to submit to the County the following:
 - a. At least quarterly submit in writing to the committee having jurisdiction or attend a meeting of the committee and report recent activity of the organization and

- upcoming projects, which is to become an official record of the committee meeting
- b. An audit of their financial records, performed by an independent auditor, licensed as a certified public accountant eligible to perform such services in the State of New York
- c. A copy of all minutes of their governing board
- 4. In addition to the requirements of item 1, listed above, all contract agencies listed in "Category C" on the attached schedule shall be required to submit to the County the following:
 - a. Submit a budget request that additionally provides a description of detailed services that the organization shall perform contractually for the County associated with the appropriation request
 - b. At least annually submit in writing to the committee having jurisdiction or attend a meeting of the committee and report recent activity of the organization and upcoming projects, which is to become an official record of the committee meeting
 - c. An audit of their financial records, performed by an independent auditor, licensed as a certified public accountant eligible to perform such services in the State of New York if the annual appropriation from the County is \$50,000 or more. If the County appropriation is less than \$50,000 the agency is required to submit IRS Form 990-EZ
 - d. A copy of all minutes of their governing board

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that each organization shall be paid at least on a quarterly basis provided that they have complied with the requirements of this policy, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the requirements stipulated in this policy shall be effective beginning with the 2015 County Budget.

Schedule A

AGENCY	Committee Jurisdiction
Category A	
Sullivan County Legal Aid Panel Inc	Public Safety
Sullivan County Conflict Legal Aid	Public Safety
Category B	
Sullivan County Community College - Contribution	Government Services
Sullivan County Visitors Association	Community and Economic Development
Cornell Cooperative Extension	Government Services
Soil and Water Conservation District	Public Works
Category C	
Boys and Girls Club	Health and Family Services
YMCA of Middletown NY INC	Health and Family Services
Partnership for Economic Development	Community and Economic Development
Sullivan Alliance for Sustainable Development	Agriculture and Sustainability
Sullivan County ARC	Health and Family Services
Dream Tank	Public Safety
Head Start	Executive Committee
САСНЕ	Executive Committee
Delaware Valley Arts Alliance	Executive Committee
C.A.T.S.	Community and Economic Development
Sullivan County Sportsmen's Federation	Executive Committee
Library Alliance	Executive Committee
Delaware Highlands Conservancy(The Eagle Institute)	Executive Committee
Literacy Volunteers	Executive Committee
Sullivan County Long Beards	Executive Committee
Upper Delaware Scenic Byway	Planning and Environmental Management
and the state of t	

To:	Sullivan County Legislature
Fr:	Kathy Jones, Director, Purchasing and Central Services
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Resolution: Amend Procurment Policy (RFP Process Threshold)
Date:	
	April 10, 2014
Purpo	se of Resolution: [Provide a detailed statement of what the Resolution will accomplish, as
	a justification for approval by the Sullivan County Legislature.]
Ame	and Procurment Policy to increase FORMAL RFP process from \$10,000.00 to
\$50,0	00.00. This increase will reduce advertising costs and streamline the RFP process for
servi	ces less than \$50,000.00. Services that are\$10,000.00 - \$49,000.00 will continue to be
RFP'	l, Quoted or Bid without the restriction of advertising and a specific 2-3 week issuance
perio	1.
	ject of Resolution mandated? Explain:
	Resolution require expenditure of funds? Yes No
	s, provide the following information:
	ount to be authorized by Resolution: \$
	funds already budgeted? Yes No
II "	Yes" specify appropriation code(s):
II ".	No", specify proposed source of funds:
	mated Cost Breakdown by Source:
Sta	Grant(s) \$
	eral Government \$ (Specify)
Does I	Resolution request Authority to Enter into a Contract? Yes No No
	s", provide information requested on Pages 2. If "NO", please go straight to Page 3
	quire all pre-legislative approvals.

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with [_] of
Nature of Other Party to Contract: Other:	
Duration of Contract: FromTo	
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No If "Yes" provide the following information:	
Dates of prior contract(s): From To Amount authorized by prior contract(s):	**************************************
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s):	
Future Renewal Options if any:	
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services Mandated? Yes No If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this contract satisfies the requirement	
If "No" provide other justification for County to enter into this Contract: [County doe have resources in-house, best source of the subject materials, required by grant, etc.]:	
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If specific sum is not known maximum potential cost):	
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:	***************************************
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or governmental entity:	
Specify Compliance with Procurement Procedures (Bid, Request for Proposal, Quote, e Not applicable	:tc.) _
Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract (Title):	

Pre-Legis	lative Approvals:	
A.	Director of Purchasing:	Date
В.	Management and Budget: Janethy	Date 4 8 14
C.	Law Department: 5. Was aww	
D.	County Manager:	Date 4/9/14
E.	Other as Required:	Date
Vetted in	Commit	tee on

RESOLUTION NO	14 INTRODUCED BY THE GOVERNMENT SERVICES
COMMITTEE TO AME	ND THE COUNTY'S PROCUREMENT POLICY

WHEREAS, the County of Sullivan ("County") has a Procurement Policy which has been revised over the years; and

WHEREAS, it is the recommendation of the County Manager that the County's Procurement Policy be revised again: and

WHEREAS, the revisions are contained in Schedule "A" attached hereto; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Sullivan County Legislature does hereby adopt the attached amendment to the Procurement Policy.

Moved by	
Seconded by	•
and adopted on motion	. 2014

§140-3.4. Contracting for professional services.

C. Purchases Not Subject to Competitive Bidding.

Professional Service contracts, for licensed professionals and consultants, other than contracts set forth in §140-3.4 "A" above, and contracts for an amount of \$49,999.99 and under, will be awarded at the discretion of the County Manager, Director of Purchasing and Central Services, or the Deputy County Manager / Commissioner of Management and Budget. One of the below methods should be used to acquire the services at the most advantageous price and condition:

- Written Quotes
- o Bid
- Request for Proposals

The Department of Purchasing and Central Services shall determine the most advantageous and cost effective process.

In instances where the above methods are not practical, as agreed upon by the requesting department head, the County Manager, and the County Attorney, the County of Sullivan may enter into an agreement after negotiating with the vendor for professional services.

§140-3.4. Contracting for professional services.

(3) Requests for Proposals (RFP's). Purchases and contracts from \$50,000.00 to \$99,999.99 will require the issuance of a formal Request for Proposal, and the approvals of the County Manager, Deputy County Manager / Commissioner of Management and Budget, and Director of Purchasing and Central Services. Purchases and contracts over \$99,999.99 will require the issuance of a formal Request for Proposal, and the approval of the County Manager, Deputy County Manager / Commissioner of Management and Budget and the Legislature.

§140-3.6. Purchases not subject to competitive bidding.

- A. The following purchases are not subject to competitive bidding:
 - 1) Purchases of \$20,000 or less for commodities, equipment, materials, supplies and services.
 - 2) Purchases of \$35,000 or less for public works projects.

To:	Sullivan County Legislature		
Fr:	Kathy Jones, Director, Purchasi	ng and Central Services	
Re:	Request for Consideration of a F	Resolution: Amend Procurmer Purchasing)	nt Policy (Best Value
Date:			
	April 10, 2014		
Purpo	se of Resolution: [Provide a detaile	ed statement of what the Resolu	tion will accomplish, as
well as	a justification for approval by the	Sullivan County Legislature.]	• •
	nd Procurment Policy to includ		Local Law 1 of 2014 allows
this o	ffice an alternative method for	evaluating and awarding b	id contracts. In accordance
with (GML103, an objective and qua	untifiable analysis is require	d before award is made.
			TO THE STATE OF TH
Does F	Resolution require expenditure of s, provide the following informati	funds? Yes No X	The wide to the state of the st
Am	ount to be authorized by Resoluti	on: \$	
Are	funds already budgeted? Yes	No	
11	Yes" specify appropriation code(s	i);	The state of the s
Reti	No", specify proposed source of fi mated Cost Breakdown by Sourc	ings:	The second secon
Cou		Grant(s)	e
Stat	•		\$
	eral Government \$	(Specify)	•
	d by Budget Office:	ant my	
Does E	Resolution request Authority (o/E	ntor into a Contract? V	NT. V
If "Va	s", provide information requested	d on Pages 2 If "NO" places	go atmosaht to Dec. 2
	quire all pre-legislative approvals		go su aight to rage 3

Nature of Other Party to Contract:	Other:
Duration of Contract: From	То
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes If "Yes" provide the following information	
Dates of prior contract(s): From	To
Amount authorized by prior contracts Resolutions authorizing prior contracts	act(s):
Future Renewal Options if any:	(Resolution #8):
If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; descri	be how this contract satisfies the re-
If "No" provide other justification for Co	unty to anton into this Contract.
have resources in-house, best source of the s	
	ubject materials, required by grant, et
have resources in-house, best source of the s Total Contract Cost for [year or contra	ubject materials, required by grant, et
Total Contract Cost for [year or contra maximum potential cost):	subject materials, required by grant, et not period]: (If specific sum is not period):
Total Contract Cost for [year or contra maximum potential cost): Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative	subject materials, required by grant, et not period]: (If specific sum is not period):

A. Director of Purchasing: Way frus	Date
B. Management and Budget: Javetmy	Date 4 8 14
C. Law Department:	Date 4 8 114
D. County Manager:	Date
E. Other as Required:	Date

RES	SOLUTIO	N NO	INTRODUC	CED BY TH	E GOVERNI	MENT SERVI	ICES
CO	MMITTEI	E TO AMENI	THE COU	NTY PROC	UREMENT	POLICY TO	
AU'	FHORIZE	UTILIZATI	ON OF THE	"BEST VA	LUE" PROV	ISIONS SET	FORTH IN
LO	CAL LAW	1 OF 2014					

WHEREAS, Local Law 1 of 2014 provides an alternative method for evaluating and awarding bid contracts; and

WHEREAS, the County's Procurement Manual needs to reflect the provisions of the Law and to provide an objective outline for Best Value bid analysis.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the existing Procurement Policy is Amended as provided in Attachment "A" hereto, to provide an objective outline of a "Best Value" analysis in a new subsection § 140-3.2.1.

Moved by	
Seconded by,	
and adopted on motion	. 2014

§140-3.2.1. BEST VALUE

Pursuant to General Municipal Law §103(1) purchase contracts or public works contracts (except those subject to New York State Labor Law Article 8) may be awarded on the basis of the Best Value to the Bidder that optimizes quality, cost and efficiency, among responsive and responsible Bidders. Local Law 1 of 2014 permits the Director of Purchasing to utilize a "Best Value" option when seeking to purchase goods and services on behalf of the County.

Best Value solicitations shall prescribe the minimum specifications or requirements that must be met in order to be considered responsive and shall describe and disclose the general manner in which the evaluation and selection shall be conducted. The basis of award of the solicitation shall identify the relative importance and/or weight of the overall technical criterion to be considered to determine Best Value. The evaluation may also identify a quantitative factor for small businesses or certified minority – or women-owned business enterprises, as defined in Executive Law §300 (1), (7), (15) and (20). The basis of award shall reflect, wherever possible, objective and quantifiable analysis. Documentation in the procurement record shall, where practicable, include a quantification of the application of the evaluation criteria to the rating of proposals and the evaluation results, or, where not practicable, such other justification which demonstrates that Best Value will be achieved.

Goods and services procured and awarded on the basis of Best Value are those that the County determines will be of the highest quality while being the most cost efficient as offered by responsive and responsible bidders. The determination of quality and cost efficiency shall be based on objectively quantified and clearly described and documented criteria, which may include, but shall not be limited to, any or all of the following: product or service features, quality, durability, reliability, product performance criteria, quality of craftsmanship, cost and extent of maintenance, useful lifespan, availability of replacement parts, availability of maintenance contractors, warranties, proximity to the end user if distance or response time is a significant factor, references, past performance, organization and staffing, and financial capabilities.

If Best Value is authorized as a procurement method eligible for piggybacking consideration, then any potential piggyback contract should be evaluated for substantial compliance with the above. All procurements based upon Best Value are subject to review by the Director of Purchasing or her designee, and approval by the Director of Purchasing, in consultation with County Manager and the impacted Department or Division head.

§140-3.2.3 REPORT REQUIREMENT

For purposes of Best Value and Piggybacking contracts, the Director of Purchasing shall, at least quarterly, provide a written summary to the County Legislature of contracts awarded.

To:	Sullivan County Legislature		
Fr:	Kathy Jones, Director, Purchasing	g and Central Services	
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Re	esolution: Amend Procurm	ent Policy (Piggybacking)
Date:			
	April 10, 2014		
Purpe	ose of Resolution: [Provide a detailed	statement of what the Resol	ution will accomplish, as
well a	s a justification for approval by the Su	ıllivan County Legislature.]	
Ame	nd Procurment Policy to include	Piggybacking as a purch	nasing tool. Pursuant to GM
103,	commodities can be purchased f	from and by other govern	ment entities if in accordanc
with	competitive bidding practices.		
		The state of the s	
Does I If "Ye Am	Resolution require expenditure of functions, provide the following information to be authorized by Resolution funds already budgeted? Yes N	unds? Yes No <u>X</u> n: n: \$	
If "	Yes" specify appropriation code(s):	West of the state	
11 "	No", specify proposed source of fun	ıds:	
	imated Cost Breakdown by Source:		
	inty \$		\$
Sta		Other	\$
Verific	leral Government \$ed by Budget Office: Resolution request Authority to Ent	(Specify) Security Yes	No X
If "Ye	s", provide information requested	on Pages 2, If "NO", nleas	
and ac	equire all pre-legislative approvals.	B Tro ; pront	- 9- somewhare to rake a

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with [
Nature of Other Party to Contract: Other:
Duration of Contract: FromTo
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No If "Yes" provide the following information:
Dates of prior contract(s): From To Amount authorized by prior contract(s): Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #c):
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s):
s Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services Mandated? Yes No If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this contract satisfies the requirements:
f "No" provide other justification for County to enter into this Contract: [County does not nave resources in-house, best source of the subject materials, required by grant, etc.]:
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If specific sum is not known state maximum potential cost):
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative:
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or governmental entity:
Specify Compliance with Procurement Procedures (Bid, Request for Proposal, Quote, etc.) Not applicable Procurement Procedures (Bid, Request for Proposal, Quote, etc.) Person(s) responsible for monitoring contract (Title):

Pre-Legislative	Approvals:			
A. Dire	ctor of Purchasi	ng: Xoly for	Date	9/8/14
B. Man	agement and Bu	idget: Garethy	Date	4/8/14
C. Law	Department:	5. Mas gm	Date	18:134
D. Cour	nty Manager:	Jel Votne	Date	4/9/14
E. Othe	r as Required: _	<i>V</i>	Date	
Vetted in		Comm	ittee on	

RESOLUTION NO	INTRODUCED 1	BY THE GOVER	NMENT SERVICES	
COMMITTEE TO AM	END THE COUNTY	PROCUREMEN	T POLICY TO	
AUTHORIZE UTILIZA	ATION OF THE "PIC	GGYBACK" PRO	VISION OF GENERAL	
MUNICIPAL LAW § 1	03 (16)			

WHEREAS, General Municipal Law (GML) § 103 (16) authorizes political subdivisions to purchase apparatus, materials, equipment and supplies, and to contract for services related to the installation, maintenance or repair of those items, through the use of contracts let by the U.S., any agency of the U.S., a state, or any other political subdivision or district therein, and

WHEREAS, the underlying contract must have been let by one of the governmental entities listed in the statute, let in a manner that is consistent with state competitive bidding laws and must be made available for use by other governmental entities, and each proposed procurement must be reviewed to determine whether it falls within GML § 103 (16).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the existing Procurement Policy is Amended to provide for "Piggybacking" in a new subsection § 140-3.2.2, and the Director of Purchasing is hereby authorized to purchase and to bid on certain purchases in accordance with GML § 103 (16).

Moved by	······································
Seconded by	7
and adopted on motion	, 2014.

To:	Sullivan County Legislature		
Fr:	Kathy Jones		
Re:	Request for Consideration of a Re	esolution:	
Date:	4/10/14		
Purpo	ose of Resolution: [Provide a detailed	d statement of what the Reso	olution will accomplish, as
well as	s a justification for approval by the S	ullivan County Legislature.]	•
To at	thorize the award of the Aspha	lt Plant Testing for Qual	ity Assurance
	osal and execute an agreement v		•
	ance work.		

			18.24.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.44.
	ject of Resolution mandated? Exp		
No -	It is the responsibility of the Co	. to assure that materials	produced and placed
on Co	o. Rds meet specifications to en	sure the proper life expe	ctancy is met.
	Resolution require expenditure of f		
	es, provide the following information		
	nount to be authorized by Resolution		
	e funds already budgeted?YesX		
If "	Yes" specify appropriation code(s)): <u>D5110-45-47-4720 Pn</u>	dg. Budget Mod.
If "	'No", specify proposed source of fu	nds:	
Est	imated Cost Breakdown by Source	e:	
Co	unty \$ <u>25,000.00</u>	Grant(s)	\$
Sta	te \$	Other	\$
Fed	leral Government \$	(Specify)	
Verifi	ed by Budget Office:	enet my	
		(13	
Does 1	Resolution request Authority to Er	nter into a Contract? Yes_	X_ No
If "Ve	es" provide information requested	on Pages 2 and 3	

Request for Authority to Enter into Contract with [Advance Testing Company]	of			
[3348 Route 208 Campbell Hall, N.Y. 10916]				
Nature of Other Party to Contract: Out Of County Vendor Other:				
Duration of Contract: From <u>04/28/2014</u> To <u>12/31/2014</u>				
Is this a renewal of a prior Contract? Yes No				
If "Yes" provide the following information:				
Dates of prior contract(s): From To				
Amount authorized by prior contract(s):				
Resolutions authorizing prior contracts (Resolution #s):				
Future Renewal Options if any:				
Is Subject of Contract – i.e. – the goods and/or services Mandated? Yes No \(\sumset \) If "Yes" cite the mandate's source; describe how this contract satisfies the requirements:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
If "No" provide other justification for County to enter into this Contract: [County does not have resources in-house, best source of the subject materials, required by grant, etc.]: The paving contract was bid using NYSDOT Specifications and these specs. require both quality control by the contractor along with quality assurance by the agency. County forces cannot provide this specialized testing work.				
Total Contract Cost for [year or contract period]: (If specific sum is not known stamaximum potential cost): \$25,000	ate			
Efforts made to find Less Costly alternative: A Request for Proposal was issued and the quote from the lowest responsible firm for the quality assurance work was chosen.				
Efforts made to share costs with another agency or governmental entity: By specification the quality assurance testing is required for the placement of hot mix asphalt and all costs to repair the County Roads are bourne by the County.				

Specify Compliance with Procus Request for Proposals RFP 1		1 / +1 / - /
Person(s) responsible for monito	oring contract (Title): <u>Dermot I</u>	P. Dowd, Civil Eng.
Pre-Legislative Approvals:	Ť.	
A. Director of Purchasin	g: Audy Jones	Date
B. Management and Bud	lget: Janet my	Date _ 4 8/14
C. Law Department:	5./ Yasquh	Date 4 8 14
D. County Manager:	John Noton	_ Date
E. Other as Required: _	-	Date
Vetted in Government Service	es Committee Commit	tee on <u>04/10/2014</u>

Resolution	No.	

RESOLUTION INTRODUCED BY GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AWARD & EXECUTION OF AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, proposals were received for Quality Assurance Asphalt Plant Inspection for 2014 resurfacing program (R 14-07) and

WHEREAS, Advance Testing Company, Inc., 3348 Route 208, Campbell Hall, New York 10916, is the responsible proposer for this project, and

WHEREAS, the Sullivan County Division of Public works has approved said proposal and recommends that an agreement be executed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Manager be and hereby is authorized to execute an agreement with Advance Testing Company, Inc., at a total price not to exceed \$25,000.00, for Asphalt Plant Testing for Quality Assurance, in accordance with R 14-07, said contract to be in such form as the County Attorney shall approve.

Moved by	······································
Seconded by	
and adopted on motion	, 2014.

KATHLEEN JONES Director



Tel.: (845) 807-0515 Fax: (845) 807-0526

SULLIVAN COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PURCHASING & CENTRAL SERVICES SULLIVAN COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER 100 NORTH STREET, P.O. Box 5012 MONTICELLO, NY 12701

To: Government Services Committee

From: Purchasing & Central Services

Date: April 10, 2014

Re: Monthly Report

1. Bids:

• Hot Mix Asphalt (F.O.B. Plant) (B-14-07)

Callanan Industries, Inc. Albany, NY

• Eggs & Cheese (B-14-08)

Duso Food Distributors Ellenville, NY Mivila Foods Paterson, NJ

• Bridge Construction Materials (B-14-10)

A.H. Harris & Sons Albany, NY

• Building Materials (B-14-11)

Superior Building Supply
Wyde Lumber
Neversink, NY
Monticello, NY

- 2. Processed 211 Purchase Orders
- 3. Recyclables